R.E. No. 1370/06
Presented by Shri P.R. Rajput
dated 10/3/06

(1) 5

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G)

W.P.No. 1474 **/2006**

PETITIONER

Satish Kumar Dewangan S/o Late Shri Bodhram Dewangan . Aged about 25 years R/o Kosta Para . Rairarh Distt. . Raigarh (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. The Chhattisgarh State Electrical Board Through the Chairman Raipur . (C.G.)
2. Madhya Pradesh Electrical Board - Through the Chairman Bhopal (M.P.)
3. Chief Engineer C.S.E.B Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)
4. Divisional Engineer C.SE.B. Division Raigarh (C.G.)
5. Union of India
   Through – Director ,
   personnel training ,
   public grievance &
   Pension (State
   Reorganisation Cell)
   Loknayak Bhavan ,
   Khan Market New Dehli.

RIC Bhawan 10/3/06
for THAKUR VIJAY SINGH
Asst. Solicitor General of India
CHHATTISGARH HIGH COURT
High Court Campus, Bilaspur (C.G.)

OATH COMMISSIONER
APARNA MALVIYA
CG-207/3/96
10/03/06
Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

25

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH : BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE SHRI SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J

WRIT PETITION NO. 1474 OF 2006

Petitioner — **Satish Kumar Dewangan**

Versus

Respondents — **The Chhattisgarh State Electrical Board & Others**

Present :- Shri D.R. Patel, counsel for the petitioner.

ORDER
(Passed on 28th March, 2006)

The petitioner has filed this petition seeking compassionate appointment on the ground that father of the petitioner died on 24-4-1997.

On query as to why this petition has been filed belatedly after a period of nine years, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has attained the age of 18 years in the year 2000 and thereafter he has made an application.

On going through the pleadings, I have not found that the submission of the petitioner is correct. The petitioner has sent a letter to the respondent on 21-9-1999 that the petitioner had attained the age of 18 years before September, 1999 without stating his date of birth. Thereafter the petitioner kept quite. Learned counsel for the petitioner could not make out any ground for interference. The petitioner has not further explained the delay of about six years in filing this petition, after making application on 21-9-1999. As the purpose of compassionate appointment is to grant relief immediately after the death of the employee not after a period of nine years, the petition is dismissed. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

GOWRI